## FOR PUBLICATION

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

## 

KENNARD A. SMITH,	)					
,	)	D.C.	CRIM.	APP	. NO.	92-130
Appellant,	)					
	)	T.C.	CRIM.	NO.	F297	-91
V.	)					
	)					
GOVERNMENT OF THE VIRGIN I	SLANDS)					
	)					
Appellee.	)					
	)					

On Appeal from the Territorial Court of the Virgin Islands Considered: April 6, 1994 Filed: April 11, 1994

BEFORE: THOMAS K. MOORE, Chief Judge, District Court of the Virgin Islands; JAMES T. GILES, Judge of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Sitting by Designation; and JULIO A. BRADY, Judge of the Territorial Court of the Virgin Islands, St. Croix Division, Virgin Islands, Sitting by Designation.

# **APPEARANCES:**

Leslie Payton, Esq.
Territorial Public Defender
8191 Subbase, Suite #16
P.O. Box 6040
St. Thomas, V.I. 00801
Attorney for Appellant

Elliot M. Davis, Esq., Asst. Atty. General V.I. Department of Justice 8050 Kronprindens Gade Suite #1 St. Thomas, V.I. 00802 Attorney for Appellee

D.C. App. Crim. 92-130 Order Page 2

#### ORDER

This matter is before the Court on appeal from the Territorial Court of the Virgin Islands, having been submitted on the briefs without oral argument<sup>1</sup>. Appellant challenges the Territorial Court's acceptance of appellant's plea of guilty. After due consideration,

IT IS on this \_11\_ day of April, 1994, hereby ORDERED that the above-captioned appeal is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction<sup>2</sup>.

# FOR THE COURT:

THOMAS K. MOORE, CHIEF JUDGE
DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS

A T T E S T:
ORINN F. ARNOLD
Clerk of the Court

<sup>1.</sup> Appellant inaccurately relies on North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25 (1970), which holds that if a plea is given with an assertion of innocence, without more such as a record evidencing guilt, the plea may be invalid. In doing so, appellant mischaracterizes Alford's holding and his own plea of guilty, which was offered voluntarily without an assertion of innocence and in consideration of the strong evidence of appellant's guilt. See Appendix for Appellant at 37-39.

<sup>2.</sup> Appellant's motion to amend his brief is therefore denied as moot.

D.C.	App.	Crim.	92-130	
Order	<u>-</u>			
Page	3			
BY:				
		Deputy	y Clerk	

Copies to: Leslie Payton, Esq., Territorial Public Defender Elliot M. Davis, Esq., Asst. Atty. General

Verne A. Hodge, Presiding Judge of the Territorial Court

Lori Gilmore, Esq.

C. Francis